

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,275	01/16/2002	Gerold Fleissner	865.41078X00	3523	
20457	7590 01/06/2004		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			HAUGLANI	HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
	SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO			3654		
		DATE MAILED: 01/06/200	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/046,275	FLEISSNER, GEROLD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
` ` .	Scott Haugland	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3	<u>31/03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7,11-13 and 15-18</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7,11-13, and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	, 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the priority of the foreign language processes and the priority of the foreign language processes and the priority of the foreign language processes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for doc	ats have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (Instrumentation of the specification of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200 (Instrumentation of the priority	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summary	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

. Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 7, 11-13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language of claim 5, line 6 is confusing since lines 1-2 of claim 5 recites a device for delivering material from a pressing roller pair, rather than the combination of a pressing roller pair and a material delivering device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 11, 12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meschenmoser in view of Brabant et al.

. Art Unit: 3654

Meschenmoser discloses a device for delivering a thin, unbonded, nonwoven material from a pressing roller pair 5, 9 to a following adjacent roller 35 encircled by a conveyor 33 for further transport comprising (a) a circulating transport element 13, 15, 17 including a first deflection roller 15 and a permeable endless delivery conveyor 13, (b) a suction device 23 supplying a partial vacuum acting against a non-transporting side of transport element 13, 15, 17, and (c) a following endless conveyor 33 encircling the following adjacent roller 35.

Meschenmoser does not disclose a perforated drum subject to a partial vacuum and located between the pressing roller pair and the adjacent roller.

Brabant et al teaches providing a perforated suction drum 4 to transfer a nonwoven fiber web 1 from a conveying device 2 to an adjacent conveying device 3a, 3b. The drum has an inner cover 6a, 6b provided on a top side of the drum. The lower portion of the drum is subject to a partial vacuum.

The inner cover 6a, 6b extends from

around a line where the nonwoven material first engages the pressing roller pair to the adjacent conveying device 3a, 3b. The perforated drum engages the roller nip of the pressing roller pair such that the nonwoven material partially encircles a lower roller of the pressing roller pair.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Meschenmoser with a perforated

. Art Unit: 3654

drum as taught by Brabant et al to transfer the nonwoven material from pressing roller pair 5, 9 to the following endless conveyor 33, 35 in lieu of the transport element 13, 15, 17 of Meschenmoser since Brabant et al teaches an equivalent mechanism for transferring a delicate web between conveyors by suction. It would have been further obvious to provide means to feed nonwoven material to the pressing roller pair that does not pass between the rollers of the pressing roller pair as taught by Brabant et al in lieu of transport belt 7 of Meschenmoser since Brabant et al teaches that delicate nonwoven material may be transferred to pressing rollers without the need for a belt passing through the rollers that may interfere with the pressing and binding of the material thereby eliminating any difficulties associated with separating the belt which has been pressed onto the material.

With regard to claims 3, 4, 7, and 12, the ambient air drawn in through the walls of the perforated drum would inherently cool the nonwoven material and is, therefore, seen to be cooling air. The web transfer devices of Meschenmoser and Brabant et al both draw in ambient air.

With regard to claim 11, it would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to make the perforated drum rotate counter to lower pressing roller 5

. Art Unit: 3654

so that the material is fed along a path similar to that provided by belt 13 of Meschenmoser and drum 4 of Brabant et al.

With regard to claims 15 and 17, the pressing roller pair 5, 9 is a calender roller pair since it provides a compressing treatment to a web in a process for manufacturing the web. Further, with regard to claims 15-18, the transfer device of Meschenmoser is capable of use with calender rollers that do not completely bond the nonwoven material. Additionally, with regard to claims 16 and 17, the web is not fully bonded until it has been dried.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Meschenmoser has a belt or wet felt 7 that passes between the rollers of the pressing roller pair while the pressing roller pair of Applicant's invention is traversed only by the nonwoven material.

. Art Unit: 3654

However, Brabant et al teaches passing only a nonwoven material between pressing rollers. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to support the web of Meschenmoser as taught by Brabant et al to eliminate the need for the transport belt 7 and any interference of the belt in the pressing process.

Applicant further argues that Brabant et al that neither Meschenmoser or Brabant et al suggest that a suction cylinder such as that of Brabant et al can be used to transfer a nonwoven material which passes by itself between a pressing roller pair to a second transfer device.

However, Brabant et al is seen to teach passing a nonwoven material between pressing rollers by itself. The suction drum 4 provides the support for the material that would be provided by the transport belt 7 of Meschenmoser while eliminating additional materials passing through the pressing rollers. The nonwoven material is not supported by transport belt 7 as it leaves the pressing roller pair. A suction conveyor is used which provides the required support for the material. A suction drum such as taught by Brabant et al would have been an obvious equivalent of the suction conveyor of Meschenmoser and would have clearly been capable of supporting the exiting material since Brabant et al teaches that it is capable of supporting the weaker, uncalendered material.

.. Art Unit: 3654

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. The new grounds of rejection were necessitated by the addition to claim 1 of the steps of passing only the nonwoven material between the pressing roller pair and seizing the nonwoven material by a partial vacuum which acts against a perforated drum and by the addition to claim 5 of the pressing roller pair and the perforated drum. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Haugland whose telephone

Art Unit: 3654

number is (703) 305-6498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600